1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, during the telephonic Case Management Conference of August 17, 2004, the Court scheduled a hearing for October 12, 2004 commencing at 1:00 p.m. in the Courtroom of the Honorable James Ware located in San Jose, California to permit the parties to present oral argument on the following pending motions:

- 1. Acacia's Motion for Consolidation and Case Management Order ("Motion for Consolidation");
- 2. Acacia's Motion for Certification of a Defendant Class ("Motion for Class Certification"); and
- 3. Acacia's Motion to Dismiss Defendants' Eighth and Ninth Counterclaims for Failure to State a Claim ("Motion to Dismiss").

WHEREAS, Defendants have not yet filed an opposition to Acacia's Motion to Dismiss and Acacia has not filed a reply.

WHEREAS, the Court also scheduled a hearing for December 2 and 3, 2004 commencing at 9:00 a.m. each day in a courtroom in the Santa Ana courthouse to permit the parties to present oral argument on the following motions to be brought by Defendants:

- 1. Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment that the Terms "Sequence Encoder" and "Identification Encoder" in the '702 patent are indefinite and render all claims that use those terms invalid;
- 2. Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment that the Term "Identification Encoding Means" in the '992 patent is indefinite and renders all claims that use that term invalid. (the motions described in paragraphs 1 and 2 are hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Indefiniteness Motions"). Defendants believe that this matter has already been resolved. In the joint statement filed with the Court on

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

August 6, 2004, Acacia stipulated that it would "no longer contend that the 'identification encoding means' term is definite." This stipulation was confirmed on the record during the hearing of August 17, 2004. Nevertheless, plaintiff has communicated to defendants that it will not stipulate to judgment of invalidity. Accordingly, defendants will proceed appropriately.

3. Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment that the term "identification encoder" in the '702 patent is not enabled ("Non-Enablement Motion") for the definition "a structure that assigns a unique identification code."

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties through their respective counsel of record, THAT:

The following briefing schedule shall apply to Acacia's Motion to Dismiss, to Defendants' Indefiniteness Motions, and to Defendants' Non-Enablement Motion:

## Briefing Schedule for Acacia's Motion to Dismiss:

- September 15, 2004 -- Defendants' Opposition Brief due; and
- September 29, 2004 Acacia's Reply Brief due.

## Briefing Schedule for Defendants' Indefiniteness Motions and Non-Enablement Motion:

- September 20, 2004 Defendants' Opening Briefs on each Motion due;
- October 20, 2004 Acacia's Opposition Briefs to each Motion due; and
- November 18, 2004 Defendants' Reply Briefs on each Motion due.

SE NO. SA CV-02-1040 JW (MLGX)

	<i>(</i>	
1	DATED: August 31, 2004	GIFFORD, KRASS, GROH, SPRINKLE,
2		ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C.
3 4		By Wark Schneider SAB
5		Gregory B. Wood Douglas W. Sprinkle Mark Schneider
6		
7		Attorneys for Defendant ASKCS.COM, INC.
8	DATED: August 31, 2004	THE HECKER LAW GROUP
9		$\sim 100.1.6$
10 11		By James M. Slominski
12		
13		Attorneys for Defendant OFFENDALE COMMERCIAL BV, LTD.
14	DATED: August 31, 2004	LATHAM & WATKINS
15		By Adam Alper/SAB
16		Adam Alper
17		Attorneys for Defendants ICS, Inc. and AP Net Marketing, Inc.
18		<b>.</b>
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

## PROOF OF SERVICE

1

2

3

4

5 6

7 8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

I am a citizen of the United States and employed in Los Angeles County, California. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within-entitled action. My business address is 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3300, Los Angeles. California 90017.

On August 31, 2004, I served a copy of the within document(s) described as AMENDED JOINT STIPULATION RE BRIEFING SCHEDULE FOR MOTIONS by transmitting via United States District Court for the Central District of California Electronic Case Filing Program the document(s) listed above by uploading the electronic files for each of the above listed document(s) on this date, addressed as set forth on the attached Service List.

The above-described document was also transmitted to the parties indicated below, by Federal Express only.

Chambers of the Honorable James Ware

I, Sylvia A. Berson, declare:

Attn: Regarding Acacia Litigation

280 South First Street

San Jose, CA 95113

3 copies

I am readily familiar with Hennigan, Bennett & Dorman LLP's practice in its Los Angeles office for the collection and processing of federal express with Federal Express.

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on August 31, 2004, at Los Angeles, California.

	l	
1	SERVICE LIST	
2	Juanita Brooks	Victor de Gyarfas
3	Christopher Marchese Todd G. Miller, Esq.	Foley & Lardner 2029 Century Park East, 35th Floor
4	Fish & Richardson P.C. 12390 El Camino Real	Los Angeles, CA 90067-3021  Attorneys for Defendant
5	San Diego, California 92130	Cybertrend, Inc.
6	Attorneys for Defendants Ademia Multimedia, LIC - Adult	
7	Entertainment Broadcast Network -	
8	Audio Communications - Cyberheat,	
9	Inc Game Link, Inc Holio.Net L.L.C Lightspeedcash - Matrix	
10	Content, Inc New Destiny - VS Media,	
11	Inc.	
12	Gregory B. Wood	Douglas W. Sprinkle
1	Fulbright & Jaworski L.L.P.	Mark Schneider Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle,
13	865 S. Figueroa Street, 29th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90017	Sanderson & Citkowski
14	Attorneys for Defendant	280 N. Old Woodward Ave., Suite 400 Birmingham, Michigan 48009
15	ASKCS.com, Inc.	Attorneys for Defendant
16		ASKCS.com, Inc.
17	Gary A. Hecker	David A. York
18	James M. Slominski. The Hecker Law Group	Adam Alper Latham & Watkins
19	1925 Century Park East, Suite 2300	135 Commonwealth Drive
20	Los Angeles, Ca 90067 Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant	Menlo Park, CA 94025  Attorneys for Defendants
21	Offendale Commercial Limited BV	ICS, Inc. and AP Net Marketing
22		,
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CASE NO. SACV 02-1040 JW (MLGX)	JOINT STIPULATION RE BRIEFING SCHEDU